

Royal Hobart Hospital

Clinical Support Services

Department of Health and Human Services



Supervision – A Journey towards Best Practice

Wendy Rowell, Jill Thiele, Lisa Guy
Occupational Therapy

Department of Health and Human Services



Why supervise?

- Organisation – clinical governance
 - Quality service
 - Reduce risk to patients and staff
- Profession – OT responsible for professional practice of selves and support staff
 - Safe and effective delivery of OT service
 - Fosters professional competence and development (OT Australia₁ & American Assoc. OT, 2004₂)

The Journey begins

- **2000 Seniors meeting established**
 - **Support to develop skills in supervision**
- **Established group to look specifically at supervision**
 - **Support and sharing ideas**
 - **Development of structure for consistency**
 - **Baseline of problems encountered**
 - **Search for resources and articles**

Outcomes from step one

- Include supervision as focus in orientation;
- Determination of core skills required in orientation – new grads / experienced OTs;
- Allow time for staff to have supportive ‘settle in’ prior to taking on full caseload;
- Resource folders for rotations, specific skills.

Supervision Guidelines (Take One)

Guidelines for Supervision document:

- Allocation of supervisor;
- Inform new staff of obtaining alternate supervision;
- Ongoing process;
- Establishment appropriate of learning / teaching styles;
- Flexibility in relationship;
- Outline of expectations for each level of staff.

Evaluation of Step One

- Exit interviews showed positive results
- Returning staff praised seniors for quality and quantity of supervision
- Senior staff not satisfied that best practice reached – inconsistency and gaps for both supervisors and supervisees

Issues – relating to Supervisees

- Responsibility fell on supervisor
- Boundaries of supervision blurred
- Confidentiality
- Style differences between supervisors
- Inconsistency in use of documentation
- Shopping around

Issues – relating to Supervisors

- Burden fell to few seniors
- No training for new supervisors
- No formal supervision for level 2 / 3 staff
- Not sure where responsibility and autonomy ends

Step Two

- **Made a plan**

- **Models and frameworks of supervision**
- **Learning styles relating to supervision**
- **Skills and training needs of supervisors**
- **Documentation**
- **Rules of supervision**
- **Reasons for supervision**
- **Relationship to caseload allocation**

Updated Guidelines (Take 2)

- From literature, OT Australia guidelines for Supervision³, and training
 - Definition of supervision (or not)
 - Supervisor allocation
 - Procedures
 - “Fleshing out” of old document

Training

- Education and Training Grant
 - Workshops March 2006
 - Supervisees (1/2 day)
 - Supervisors (full day)

“Making supervision work for you”

- Definition and practice of clinical supervision
- Stages of supervision
- Contract setting, use of log books
- Essential skills for supervisees
- Feedback

Evaluation of training

- Expectations of workshops
- Training – meeting expectations - **Av. 7.5**
- Satisfaction of supervision prior to workshop - **Av. 5.1**
- Attitude of supervision prior to, and after workshop - **Av. 8.4**

Integration of workshop

- **Guidelines Updated (Take 3)**
 - Peer supervision model
 - Group supervision
 - Pre-supervision meeting held
 - Prompts sheet developed to help promote a supervisee centred session
 - Strengths, needs, goals identifier
 - Supervision agreement
 - Supervisor feedback on Supervisee
 - Supervision attendance record

A sidetrack on a gravel road - Change to supervisor allocation

- Recommendation to have consistent professional supervisor for year (from 4 months)
- Staff rotation needed change for clinical work
- Unwieldy
 - One for clinical day to day clinical
 - One for professional development supervision
 - Unable to differentiate
 - One supervisor – too many supervisees

Why was it so?

- ?OTs are based in practical sphere
- Level one staff unsure which supervisor to go to
- Issue of confidentiality between supervisors
- Staff not able to freely chose supervisor
- Role of supervisor vs mentor for seniors

Mentoring

- **OT Australia Victoria (1999) defines a mentor as:**
 - “a colleague who is selected to assist, guide, advise and counsel, but who is not a formal supervisor or assessor of the mentee in the workplace” (cited by Fone 2006)4
- Junior staff not ready for “mentoring”

Mentoring vs supervision

(Adapted Rose and Best, 2005) ⁵

	Supervision	Mentoring
Relationship type	Clinical enabling relationship	Intimate, personal enabling relationship
Socialisation	Clinical socialisation, focus on practice	Career socialisation, providing social and political networks
Learning support	Semi-structured learning support	Unstructured learning support
Duration	Medium-term, determined by clinical partnership and working alliance	Long term, determined by those involved
Assessment	Clinically and professionally related tasks, and self-assessment	Multi-faceted assisting roles but no formal assessment
Selection	Chosen by individual (or assigned 'in-house' situations)	Chosen by individual

Where are we now?

- Compliance with agreed frequency of supervision sessions
- Guidelines, documentation fully implemented
- One supervisor
- Supervisees taking responsibility for supervision
- Improved staff satisfaction and attitude towards supervision
- Integration of credentialing, PDPs
- New team structure

Did we reach best practice?

- Literature supports delineation of supervision from mentoring in the workplace
- Supervisor not direct line manager
- Use of supervisory contracts
- Structure is agreed upon by both parties
- Clinical issues as well as supervisee issues drive the process
- Does not include performance management, teaching or counseling
- Confidentiality is maintained
- Lessons learnt transferable to all Allied Health

Acknowledgements

- Senior staff members:
 - Elizabeth Biscevskis, Amanda Davies, Lisa Guy, Kaye Jenkins, Jenni Johnstone, Jo-Anne Knight, Frances Latham, Peta Raison, Jolene May and Jill Thiele (Training grant application and workshop organisers)
- Christine Senediak (Workshop facilitator)
- Royal Hobart Hospital and The Hobart Private Education and Training Grants

References

1. OT AUSTRALIA (1994) Australian competency standards for entry-level occupational therapists. Accredited Occupational Therapist Program Manual. Melbourne: OT Australia
2. Brayman et al (2004) Guidelines for Supervision, Roles, and Responsibilities During the Delivery of Occupational Therapy Services AJOT 58(6):663-667
3. OT Australia National Policy Paper on Mentoring/Supervision as continuing Professional development activities in the Accredited Occupational Therapist program
4. Fone S (2006) Effective supervision for occupational therapists: The experiences of new graduate occupational therapists' Australian Occupational Therapy Journal 53 :277-283
5. Rose, M. Best, D 2005 Transforming Practice through Clinical Education, Professional Supervision and Mentoring, Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh